## MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

## **CREDIT OPINION**

31 January 2018

Rate this Research

#### Contacts

Alexandra J. +1.415.274.1754 Cimmiyotti VP-Senior Analyst alexandra.cimmiyotti@moodys.com

Michael Wertz +1.212.553.3830 VP-Senior Analyst michael.wertz@moodys.com

#### **CLIENT SERVICES**

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

# Lake Tahoe Community College District, CA

Update to Credit Analysis

#### Summary

Similar to many California community college districts, rising pension costs will be an ongoing budget pressure for Lake Tahoe Community College District (A1 positive). However, the district is well positioned to afford these costs given its strong financial position that is expected to remain stable coupled with management's conservative fiscal practices. The district also benefits from a diverse and largely residential tax base that is poised for additional moderate growth, solid socioeconomic indicators and low debt and OPEB burdens.

## **Credit strengths**

- » Large and diverse tax base poised for additional moderate growth
- » Improved financial position supported by strong reserves, including alternative funds outside of the general fund that are expected to remain stable
- » Significant operating flexibility inherent to California community college districts
- » Strong management team

### **Credit challenges**

- » Rising pension costs
- » No significant revenue raising flexibility; an inherent challenge for all California community college districts.

### **Rating outlook**

The positive outlook reflects the district's sizeable and diverse tax base that will continue to experience moderate growth, and recently improved financial position supported by strong reserves and liquidity that we expect to remain stable for at least the next two to three years.

## Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » Continued strong operating performance and maintenance of reserves and liquidity within current levels
- » Continued moderated growth in assessed value
- » Stable to growing full time equivalent students (FTES)
- » Considerable progress in fully funding OPEB

## Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Sizeable decline in reserves and liquidity
- » Material contraction in assessed value
- » Significant decline in FTES
- » Inability to manage retirement costs

## **Key indicators**

Exhibit 1

Lake Tahoe Community College District, CA	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 5,758,030	\$ 5,838,802	\$ 6,070,145	\$ 6,389,463	\$ 6,687,825
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 170,195	\$ 172,227	\$ 178,576	\$ 189,016	\$ 198,007
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	105.6%	105.1%	105.2%	105.2%	105.1%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 15,805	\$ 15,699	\$ 16,474	\$ 22,750	\$ 21,450
Fund Balance as a % of Pevenues	11.4%	13.2%	10.5%	23.7%	16.8%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	-2.5%	3.9%	14.9%	26.3%	24.5%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 1,406	\$ 1,311	\$ 1,230	\$ 19,004	\$ 17,604
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.1x	0.1x	0.1x	0.8x	0.8x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Pevenues (x)	1.5x	1.7x	1.8x	1.4x	1.7x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%

Sources: Moody's Investors Service and Lake Tahoe Community College District

## Profile

Lake Tahoe Community College District is located in South Lake Tahoe and includes one campus, Lake Tahoe Community College. Lake Tahoe Community College is a two-year, public institution offering associate degrees, certificates, career education, and continuing education programs. The district is governed by a five member Board of Trustees and its full time equivalent students for fiscal 2018 are projected at 1,739.

## **Detailed credit considerations**

#### Economy and tax base: sizeable and highly residential tax base poised for additional moderate growth

Current and projected development in addition to housing turnover will support continued moderate growth in assessed value (AV) over the medium term. The district's assessed value is largely residential (83.7% of AV) and comprises both the City of South Lake Tahoe (64.0% of AV) and unincorporated El Dorado County (36.0% of AV). Assessed value reached \$7.1 billion in 2018, which is a 6.6% increase over the prior year and the five year average annual growth is solid at 4.4%. AV per capita of \$203,667 is strong and reflects the area's desirability as a vacation or second home destination. The district's tax base is diversified with the ten largest taxpayers comprising 5.2% of 2018 secured AV. Many of the largest taxpayers are resort oriented businesses, and will likely remain invested in the community for the long-term. The local economy is highly dependent on tourist inflow and discretionary spending, and the year-round recreational destination will continue to be a strong driver for the local economy.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

District wealth measures are solid and best reflective when considering both the City of South Lake Tahoe's median family income (MFI) of 78.6% of the US and El Dorado County's MFI which is stronger at 131.7% of the US. The unemployment rate in El Dorado County remains low at 3.9%.

#### Finances and liquidity: sound financial position supported by strong reserves and liquidity

We expect that the district will continue to produce solid financial operations given management's prudent budgetary practices. Fiscal 2017 marks the district's second conservative operating surplus which was primarily due to the receipt of one-time state revenues and expenditures savings across all departments. The district's fiscal available general fund balance ended at \$2.3 million or a solid 11.8% of general fund revenues. The district's financial position is bolstered by alternative funds held outside of the general fund that have a total balance of \$1.4 million. These funds could be used for operations, if needed, and increase the district's reserve position to \$3.7 million or a healthy 18.9% of general fund revenues.

The district's reserves are broadened by the availability of general obligation bond debt service reserve monies held in the district's bond interest and redemption fund that are restricted in use for general obligation bond payments. Including these monies, the district's available operating reserve (general fund, alternative funds and bond interest and redemption fund) rises to a healthy 23% of operating fund revenues.

The district's fiscal 2018 budget includes a modest draw on fund balance of \$26,069, and reserves are projected to remain in-line with the prior year which seems reasonable given management's conservative fiscal practices. In addition, the district's board policy includes maintaining unrestricted general fund reserves at a minimum of 10% of expenditures, but management's target is higher at 15%. It would be credit positive should the district's management team maintain reserves and liquidity within current levels over the next two years.

The district's major source of funding is its Full-Time Equivalent Students. The district's FTES have been relatively stable over the past four years and are projected to slightly increase to 1,780 in fiscal 2019. Management has diversified its source of funded FTES to ensure long-term stability by creating partnerships with local agencies to be a regional training destination for police, fire and other public safety professions, as well as maintains a dual enrollment program with Lake Tahoe Unified School District and has a growing Incarcerated Student Program. The district's soccer program has helped fuel international recruits and ensure non-resident FTES continue to grow.

#### LIQUIDITY

The district's liquidity position in fiscal 2017 was healthy with unrestricted general fund cash at \$4.0 million or 20.1% of general fund revenues. Operating cash balance (general fund and bond interest and redemption fund) was also strong and totaled \$5.3 million or 24.5% of operating revenues. Similar to its reserve position, we expect that the district's liquidity will remain stable.

#### Debt and Pension: debt burden expected to remain low; moderate pension burden

The district's direct debt burden will remain low given our expectation that assessed value will continue to grow at a moderate rate. Including the current offering, the district's net direct burden is low at 0.46% of AV. The current offering is the second issuance under Measure F and the district expects its next debt issuance to be in three years. There will be \$21.0 million in authorized unissued debt after the current offering.

### DEBT STRUCTURE

All of the district's long-term debt comprises of fixed current interest bonds

## DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The district does not have any outstanding debt related derivatives.

## PENSIONS AND OPEB

The district provides employees with defined benefit pension plans through the California State Teachers' Retirement System and the California State Public Employees Retirement System. Steadily increasing contribution rates are a growing burden on the district's finances, but should remain manageable in comparison with the district's overall budget. In addition, the district maintains a Retiree Benefit Fund that has a total balance of \$620,720 to help offset increasing pension costs. Future contributions to the fund have yet to be determined.

Moody's three-year average of the adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the district, is a moderate 1.66 times operating revenues, which equates to an A-rating score on our scorecard. Moody's ANPL reflects certain adjustments we make to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the district's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

The district's unfunded OPEB liability is low at \$970,720 or 14% of payroll. The district's funded its irrevocable OPEB trust in fiscal 2014 and the trust has a balance of \$773,314 (December 31, 2017). District management expects that the district's OPEB liability will be fully funded in two to three years.

#### Management and Governance

California school districts have an Institutional Framework score of A, which is moderate compared to the nation. Institutional Framework scores measure a sector's legal ability to increase revenues and decrease expenditures. California school districts' major revenue sources are determined by the state government or, for the most part, can only be raised with voter approval. Ad valorem property tax rates cannot be increased above 1% except to meet GO bond payments, and assessed valuation growth is also generally limited to 2% annually unless a property changes ownership. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be moderate, or between 5-10% annually. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally less than 25% of expenditures. However, California has strong public sector unions and additional expenditure constraints, which limit the ability to make cuts. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be minor, under 5% annually.

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved. CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1110288

#### **CLIENT SERVICES**

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

## MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE