LTCC GOVERNANCE COUNCIL SELF-EVALUATION 2012-13

OVERVIEW

Lake Tahoe Community College (LTCC) instituted a revised governance structure during the 2012-13 academic year. As part of this revision, the primary governance councils also implemented consensus-based decision-making as the method by which meetings were conducted. These include:

- 1. Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)
- 2. Budget Council
- 3. Facilities Council
- 4. Technology Council
- 5. College Learning Council (CLC)

As part of their ongoing commitment to institutional effectiveness and continuous improvement, the governance councils participated in a self-evaluation that focused on three key areas: Consensus Decision-Making, Intra-Council Communication, and Inter-Council Communication. Additionally, the overall performance of each council was assessed. The results of this self-evaluation for each Council are presented and discussed below.

CONSENSUS DECISION-MAKING

Consistently across the councils, the implementation of consensus decision-making was seen as generally successful with room for improvement. With additional years of practice and a continued adherence to the principles of consensus it is expected that councils will increase their understanding, utilization, and the effectiveness of this new model, as assessed on the questions below.

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q1. How would	Very Good	4	4	3	3	6	20
you rate your		66.7%	57.1%	60.0%	60.0%	60.0%	60.6%
understanding of the principles	Good	2	3	2	2	4	13
of consensus		33.3%	42.9%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	39.4%
decision-making utilized by this	Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0
Council?		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Very Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	5	5	10	33
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q2. To what	Always	4	5	4	1	5	19
extent has this		66.7%	71.4%	80.0%	20.0%	50.0%	57.6%
Council adhered to the principles	Very Often	0	2	1	3	4	10
of consensus		0.0%	28.6%	20.0%	60.0%	40.0%	30.3%
decision-making	Occasionally	2	0	0	1	1	4
as you understand		33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	12.1%
them?	Never	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	5	5	10	33
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q3. How	Very	2	4	1	0	2	9
effective has the	Effective	33.3%	57.1%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	27.3%
consensus decision-making	Effective	3	3	4	5	8	23
process been,		50.0%	42.9%	80.0%	100.0%	80.0%	69.7%
from your	Ineffective	1	0	0	0	0	1
perspective, in facilitating		16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
dialogue that	Very Ineffective	0	0	0	0	0	0
leads to better		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
engagement and decisions?	Total	6	7	5	5	10	33
accisions.		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q4. Overall, how	Very Near to	2	4	1	1	2	10
well has the consensus	the ideal	33.3%	57.1%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.3%
decision-making	Near the	4	3	4	4	8	23
process been	ideal	66.7%	42.9%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	69.7%
working in this council this	Far from the	0	0	0	0	0	0
year?	ideal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
, 50	Very Far	0	0	0	0	0	0
	from the ideal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	5	5	10	33
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

INTRA-COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

Dialogue and discussion is at the heart of consensus decision-making. Results on the questions below suggest additional efforts should be made to further promote dialogue within the councils, specifically by allowing enough time on each agenda.

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q5. Would you	Strongly	2	2	2	0	6	12
agree or disagree that the	Agree	33.3%	28.6%	40.0%	0.0%	60.0%	36.4%
level of dialogue	Agree	2	5	3	5	4	19
within this		33.3%	71.4%	60.0%	100.0%	40.0%	57.6%
Council has been sufficient?	Disagree	2	0	0	0	0	2
Juniciciie.		33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%
	Strongly	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	5	5	10	33
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q6. Would you	Strongly	Effectiveness			•	Learning	Total
agree or	Strongly Agree	Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Council	Council	Council	Learning Council (CLC)	
agree or disagree that	.	Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Council 3	Council 3	Council 1	Learning Council (CLC)	14
agree or disagree that issues brought before this	Agree	Effectiveness Council (IEC) 3 50.0%	3 42.9%	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	Learning Council (CLC) 4 40.0%	14 42.4%
agree or disagree that issues brought before this Council over the	Agree	Effectiveness Council (IEC) 3 50.0% 3	3 42.9% 4	3 60.0% 2	1 20.0% 4	Learning Council (CLC) 4 40.0%	14 42.4% 19
agree or disagree that issues brought before this Council over the past academic	Agree Agree	Effectiveness Council (IEC) 3 50.0% 3 50.0%	3 42.9% 4 57.1%	3 60.0% 2 40.0%	Council 1 20.0% 4 80.0%	Learning Council (CLC) 4 40.0% 6 60.0%	14 42.4% 19 57.6%
agree or disagree that issues brought before this Council over the	Agree Agree Disagree Strongly	Effectiveness Council (IEC) 3 50.0% 3 50.0% 0	3 42.9% 4 57.1% 0	3 60.0% 2 40.0% 0	Council 1 20.0% 4 80.0% 0	Learning Council (CLC) 4 40.0% 6 60.0% 0	14 42.4% 19 57.6%
agree or disagree that issues brought before this Council over the past academic year were afforded enough time for	Agree Agree Disagree	### Effectiveness Council (IEC) 3 50.0% 3 50.0% 0 0.0%	Council 3 42.9% 4 57.1% 0 0.0%	Council 3 60.0% 2 40.0% 0 0.0%	Council 1 20.0% 4 80.0% 0 0.0%	Learning Council (CLC) 4 40.0% 6 60.0% 0 0.0%	14 42.4% 19 57.6% 0 0.0%
agree or disagree that issues brought before this Council over the past academic year were afforded enough	Agree Agree Disagree Strongly	### Effectiveness Council (IEC) 3	Council 3 42.9% 4 57.1% 0 0.0% 0	3 60.0% 2 40.0% 0 0.0%	Council 1 20.0% 4 80.0% 0 0.0%	Learning Council (CLC) 4 40.0% 6 60.0% 0 0.0%	14 42.4% 19 57.6% 0 0.0%

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q7. How often,	Often	0	0	0	0	0	0
if ever, did you feel like issues		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
were rushed	Sometimes	2	1	1	2	3	9
through the		33.3%	14.3%	20.0%	40.0%	30.0%	27.3%
Council without	Rarely	2	4	3	3	3	15
being given the appropriate		33.3%	57.1%	60.0%	60.0%	30.0%	45.5%
amount of time	Never	2	2	1	0	4	9
for review?		33.3%	28.6%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	27.3%
	Total	6	7	5	5	10	33
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

INTER-COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

As suggested by the results below, additional efforts need to be devoted to improving the understanding of the process for communication between the councils within the governance structure. For the first year of implementation, these results are not necessarily surprising. At the same time this is an area in need of improvement.

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q8. How would	Vany Cood	1	1	0	1	1	4
you rate your understanding	Very Good	16.7%	14.3%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	12.5%
of the process	Good	3	4	2	4	6	19
for inter-council	Good	50.0%	57.1%	50.0%	80.0%	60.0%	59.4%
communication on issues that	Daan	2	2	2	0	3	9
are discussed in	Poor	33.3%	28.6%	50.0%	0.0%	30.0%	28.1%
more than one	Vory Poor	0	0	0	0	0	0
Council? Very Poor	very Poor	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	4	5	10	32
	Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q9. Would you	Strongly	1	2	0	0	0	3
agree or disagree that	Agree	16.7%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%
this Council has	Agree	3	3	4	4	9	23
made sufficient		50.0%	42.9%	100.0%	80.0%	90.0%	71.9%
effort to communicate on	Disagree	2	2	0	1	1	6
issues that are		33.3%	28.6%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	18.8%
discussed in		0	0	0	0	0	0
other Disagree governance councils?	Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	4	5	10	32
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

OVERALL

Opinions were generally positive across the councils with regard to the overall performance of the council in relation to its specific charge as part of the governance structure at LTCC. Additional years of implementation will be needed to allow each council to establish a clear purpose. As more issues are brought to the governance councils, and sufficient time is devoted to dialogue, that purpose should solidify in the minds of the council members as well as the College as a whole.

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q10. Overall,	Very Near to	1	2	1	0	3	7
how is the Council's	the ideal	16.7%	28.6%	25.0%	0.0%	30.0%	21.9%
understanding	Near the	4	5	3	5	6	23
of its purpose as	ideal	66.7%	71.4%	75.0%	100.0%	60.0%	71.9%
part of the	Far from the	1	0	0	0	1	2
governance structure at	ideal	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	6.3%
LTCC?	Very Far from the ideal	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	4	5	10	32
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC)	Budget Council	Facilities Council	Technology Council	College Learning Council (CLC)	Total
Q11. Overall,	Very Near to	3	2	0	1	3	9
how has the Council	the ideal	50.0%	28.6%	0.0%	20.0%	30.0%	28.1%
performed with	Near the	2	5	3	4	6	20
regard to	ideal	33.3%	71.4%	75.0%	80.0%	60.0%	62.5%
adhering to its	Far from the ideal	1	0	1	0	1	3
charge, as stated in the bylaws?		16.7%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	10.0%	9.4%
,	Very Far	0	0	0	0	0	0
	from the ideal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Total	6	7	4	5	10	32
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

SUMMARY

The first year of the implementation of both a revised governance structure and consensus decision-making process at LTCC was generally successful as viewed by the council members who responded to this self-evaluation. Improvements can be made in each area, particularly in the understanding of the process for inter-council communication, but also in the time allotted for and devoted to intra-council dialogue. The results of this self-evaluation will be used to guide the evolution of the governance structure in the 2013-14 academic year, with subsequent evaluation at the end of the spring quarter.