2013

Integrated Planning Guide



Office of Institutional
Research and Planning
(OIRP)

CONTENTS

Overview	3
Educational Master Plan (EMP)	3
Strategic Plan	4
Comprehensive Program Review (CPR)	5
Annual Program Review (APR) and Unit Planning (AUP) Process	5
Annual Unit Planning (AUP) Process	6
Resource Allocation Process	6
Appendix A – Terms and Definitions	8
Appendix B – LTCC Six Year Planning Cycle	9
Appendix C – LTCC Annual Planning and Resource Allocation Cycle	10
Appendix D – Departments at LTCC	12
Appendix E –Governance Process for Planning	13
Annendix F – Integrated Planning and Resource Allocation	14

INTEGRATED PLANNING GUIDE

LAKE TAHOE COMMUNITY COLLEGE (LTCC)


OVERVIEW

College planning represents a systematic process whereby institutional and individual inquiry and decision-making are prompted and supported by data about student learning, student achievement and institutional outcomes. The following *Integrated Planning Guide* describes College planning processes, the inter-relationship between various plans, and the means by which the planning process drives resource allocation both in the short- and long-term. Appendices are included to provide definition of the terms used in the planning process, diagrams of the individual as well as integrated processes, and an expanded timeline of the primary planning activities is provided in Appendix A.

EDUCATIONAL MASTER PLAN (EMP)

The Educational Master Plan (EMP) describes the strategic issues that the College must address over the next six years in order to continue to fulfill its mission. The foundation of the EMP is Program Review, the results of which, when considered collectively, describe the human, technology, and facilities issues/needs necessary to continually support College programs.

The Technology and Facilities Master Plans are components of the EMP that identify specific needs (i.e. expansion, replacement, refresh) and trends, and describe future directions related to technology and facilities. The EMP is both shaped by these two plans as well as prompts their review and revision.



The Technology Master Plan identifies both the necessary resources to support the ongoing technology infrastructure of the College, as well as any additional resources needed based on specific projects identified in Program Review. Further, program development, expansion, or reorganization prompted through the EMP process provides further guidance to the development of this plan.


The Facilities Master Plan identifies both the necessary resources to maintain the physical infrastructure of the College, as well as any additional resources needed based on specific projects identified in Program Review, and program development, expansion, or reorganization prompted through the EMP process.

The Educational Master Plan also incorporates other data from both the College's external and internal environment. The process of updating the Educational Master Plan involves collectively analyzing these data through a collaborative process in order to identify trends, opportunities, and challenges (i.e. Strategic Issues) that will shape the future of the College. These Strategic Issues are central to and form the basis of the EMP. Moreover, these Strategic Issues provide the framework for the strategic planning process, and thereby become the means by which the College aligns its master and strategic planning processes. The process of updating the EMP occurs every six years. However, the College has moved forward by two years, the next update of the EMP so that it no longer conflicts with the Accreditation Self-Study cycle.

STRATEGIC PLAN

The Strategic Plan is the vehicle by which the College establishes a small number of clear and succinct goals and corresponding objectives intended to address the Strategic Issues identified in the Educational Master Plan. These Strategic Goals and Objectives guide operational planning for each fiscal year at the Program and Unit level, as well as provide the performance indicators and benchmarks necessary to assess progress toward their achievement.

Strategic Goals and Strategic Objectives are identified through a collaborative process, beginning with a daylong retreat involving a representative group of faculty, students, staff, administrators, and board of trustee and community members. Through facilitated dialogue organized around the Strategic Issues identified in the Educational Master Plan, this large group identifies an initial or potential set of Strategic Goals. Subsequently, focus groups are formed around each Strategic Goal to further examine and clarify each goal, identify the specific Strategic Objectives related to each goal, and begin the process of identifying the performance indicators that will be used to measure progress toward their achievement. The culmination of this work is the College's Updated Strategic Plan. The Strategic Plan is reviewed annually



each fall to assess progress toward meeting strategic goals and objectives. Comprehensive updates occur on a regular cycle (see Appendix B).

COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM REVIEW (CPR)

Program review is a well-established process, with each department and program at the college participating in program review on a regular cycle. The Comprehensive Program Review (CPR) entails a complete analysis of an individual program as outlined in the Comprehensive Program Review Guide (2013), in order to establish program review goals and objectives that will guide the annual program review (APR) process and resource allocation requests over the subsequent program review cycle. Specific requests related to human, technology, and facility resources are identified for integration and prioritization through the master plans of the College.

Academic Programs undergo Comprehensive Program Review on a 6-year cycle. Beginning in the fall of the review year, the program or department organizes a committee to assist in the review process by providing feedback and additional perspective. The make-up of this committee is at the discretion of the chair of the program review process for the specific department or program, but should be sufficient in both size and character to provide necessary and useful input. The specific structure and contents of the Program Review document are outlined in the Program Review Guide.

The Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs undergo Comprehensive Program Review on a 3-year cycle to maintain currency in the face of changing industry trends that can potentially influence the content and direction of the program. Similar to the process for academic programs, CTE programs form a committee to assist in the review. Specific to CTE programs, however, Community Advisory Boards (CABs) can be utilized for this process.

Administrative and Operational Departments undergo Comprehensive Program Review on a 6-year cycle. Administrative Units include the President's Office, Instruction Office, and Administrative Services while the Operational Departments consist of Information Technology Services, Maintenance and Operations, and Fiscal Services. The specific structure and contents of the Program Review document are outlined in the Program Review Guide.

Student Services Programs undergo Comprehensive Program Review on a 6-year or 3-year cycle in order to facilitate the adaptation to the constantly shifting environment of categorical funding of services and ever changing needs of students. The duration of the cycle for student services programs is determined through consultation with the appropriate administrator.

ANNUAL PROGRAM REVIEW (APR) AND UNIT PLANNING (AUP) PROCESS

The program review process includes an annual update designed to assess progress toward the achievement of previously established program review goals and objectives and allow for their modification or revision. The APR ensures that the planning process is ongoing and dynamic. As shown in Appendix C, there are parallel planning processes occurring each academic year. Beginning in the Fall quarter, Fact Book and other data drive APR process, providing information related to student success

and achievement. As part of the APR, the previous year's activities are reviewed based on relevant data and information is examined in order to determine their effectiveness.

ANNUAL UNIT PLANNING (AUP) PROCESS

The annual unit planning (AUP) process is the vehicle for establishing "actions" for further progress toward goals and objectives outlined in the CPR, and reviewed annually through the APR process. Actions are intended to be completed in the short-term and should be directly linked to goals and objectives from the CPR. Each action within a program/unit is prioritized and any resources necessary to accomplish the action are identified as part of the process.

New actions are identified for implementation during the successive year. Each of these actions and any corresponding resource/budget requests are prioritized in preparation for the annual budget process that begins in February each year. The review of budget requests at the department, division, and administrative area level focuses on the prioritization of resource allocation with the goals and objectives of the College outlined in the strategic plan and the alignment of program review and unit planning with those goals and objectives.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION PROCESS

Each year after the presentation of the Governor's Budget in January, the Budget Council will review the best available information related to the Federal, State, and local fiscal environment in combination with the College's resource projections (e.g., FTES) in order to recommend the Budget Assumptions and Goals (BAG), Budget Calendar, and Budget Guidance to the Superintendent/President for review with the President's Council (PC). The documents are then reviewed by the Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC) and a final recommendation is made to the Superintendent/President. By February of each year the Superintendent/President will present to the Board of Trustees the BAG, Budget Calendar, and Budget Guidance in compliance with Title 5 regulations. Budget Guidance is provided to the College budget managers to give direction on resource allocation, realignment, and reductions.

Unit Plans are submitted to the department heads for review and prioritization of resource requests based on the APR and AUP process. Department prioritization is further refined at the Division level. From there, each respective Administrative Area (i.e., Academic Affairs and Student Services, Administrative Services, and the President's Office) prioritizes budget augmentations, revisions, and reductions from the Division level. Prioritized requests for augmentations, realignments, and reductions will be presented to President's Council for review to ensure alignment with the Strategic Plan and fidelity to Budget Guidance.

Prioritized requests for augmentations, realignments, and reductions will be presented to the Budget Council for recommendations to the Institutional Effectiveness Council (IEC). The IEC will review the Budget Council recommendations and recommend to the Superintendent/President a Tentative Budget (June) and Final Budget (August), which are subsequently recommended to the Board of Trustees for adoption (see Figure below).

February March - May August •Budget Development Tentative Final •Governor's BudgetCalendar Budget Budget Budget Released •Unit Plan Budget •ACBO Budget Assumptions Review Workshop and Goals (BAG) Budget Guidance

APPENDIX A – TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

In order to maintain consistency among the planning processes of LTCC, it is necessary to establish agreed upon definitions of terms used in those processes.

- Administrative Area highest College collection of divisions; there are three Administrative Areas at LTCC: Academic Affairs and Student Services, Administrative Services, and District Services (Office of the Superintendent/President).
- **Division** higher level College area that may contain departments, programs, and units.
- Department individual instructional, student support or operational area within the College.
- **Program** entity within the College that engages in the process of Program Review and may contain one or more specific units.
- **Unit** the smallest entity within the College that engages in a planning process to establish actions linked to objectives and goals. Units are located within programs as defined through Program Review.
- **Strategic Issue** an area of focus derived from the review of internal and external environmental scans used to guide lower level planning processes.
- **Strategic Goal** general statements of desired direction and/or outcomes used to coordinate actions of the College in order to address Strategic Issues
- Strategic Objective specific statements that include metrics, benchmarks, or other measureable outcomes used to evaluate progress toward achieving strategic goals and the effectiveness of actions of the College
- **Program Review Goal** general statements of desired direction and/or outcomes used to coordinate actions of departments, programs, and units
- Program Review Objective specific statement that include metrics, benchmarks, or other
 measureable outcomes used to evaluation progress toward achieving program review goals and
 the effectiveness of actions of the department, program, or unit
- Actions
 – specific activities to be carried out in order to achieve identified objectives.
- **Academic Departments** instructional departments at the College.
- **Student Support Services** programs and areas that provide primarily student support, including categorically funded, grant funded, and other areas.
- Administrative Units administrative offices at the College that oversee operational and/or instructional departments.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs programs that lead to a CTE certification and are primarily vocational in character.

APPENDIX B – LTCC SIX YEAR PLANNING CYCLE

Academic Year (AY)	<u>2010-11</u>	<u>2011-12</u>	<u>2012-13</u>	<u>2013-14</u>	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	2021-22
Accreditation	Self Study	Visit					Self	Visit				Self
							Study					Study
Mission	Review	Review										
EMP	Comprehensive	Review	Review	Comprehensive	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	Comprehensive	Review	Review
	Update			Update						Update		
Environmental			Scan					Scan				
Scan												
Student Survey		CCSSE			CCSSE			CCSSE			CCSSE	
= 1, /o, ff	5050		=050		5050		5 050			5050		=0=0
Faculty/Staff	FSES		FSES		FSES		FSES			FSES		FSES
Survey												
Strategic Plan	Comprehensive	Review	Review	Review	Comprehensive	Review	Review	Review	Review	Comprehensive	Review	Review
Strategic Flair	Update	IVENIEW	IVENIEW	Neview	Update	IVENIEW	INEVIEW	IVENIEW	IVENIEW	Update	IVENIEW	IVENIEW
	Opuate				Opuate					Opuate		
CPR –		6 year	6 year	6 year	6 year Cycle		6 year	6 year	6 year	6 year Cycle	6 year	
Academic and		Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	- / /		Cycle	Cycle	Cycle	- , ,	Cycle	
AUs		•	•	,			•	•	,		•	
CPR – CTE and		3 year	3 year	3 year	3 year Cycle		3 year	3 year	3 year	3 year Cycle	3 year	
SSS		Cycle	Cycle	Cycle			Cycle	Cycle	Cycle		Cycle	
APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP	APR/AUP
Budget	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual Budget	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual Budget	Annual	Annual
Development	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget		Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget		Budget	Budget

Table 1 – NOTE: Data gathering efforts (e.g., CSSE, Environmental Scans) are timed to inform subsequent plan updates (e.g., EMP)

APPENDIX C – LTCC ANNUAL PLANNING AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION CYCLE




Figure 1

The start date and duration of annual planning activities is described in Table 2 below, and represented in Figure 1 above.

Planning Activity	<u>Start</u>	<u>Duration</u> (Days)	Completion
Fact Book 2011-12 Update	7/1/2012	30	31-Jul
APR 2012-13	9/1/2012	30	1-Oct
Strategic Planning Session	10/1/2012	30	31-Oct
AUP 2013-14	1/1/2013	30	31-Jan
Annual Budget Development 2013-14	2/1/2013	120	1-Jun
Fact Book 2012-13 Update	7/1/2013	30	31-Jul
Implement AUP 2013-14	9/1/2013	365	1-Sep
APR 2013-14	9/1/2013	30	1-Oct
Strategic Planning Session	10/1/2013	30	31-Oct
AUP 2014-15	1/1/2014	30	31-Jan
Annual Budget Development 2014-15	2/1/2014	120	1-Jun
Fact Book 2013-14 Update	7/1/2014	30	31-Jul
Implement AUP 2014-15	9/1/2014	365	1-Sep
APR 2014-15	9/1/2014	30	1-Oct
Strategic Planning Session	10/1/2014	30	31-Oct
AUP 2014-15	1/1/2015	30	31-Jan
Annual Budget Development for 2015-16	2/1/2015	120	1-Jun

Table 2

APPENDIX D – DEPARTMENTS AT LTCC

<u>Department</u>	<u>Department</u>
Addiction Studies	Philosophy
Allied Health	Physical Science
Anthropology	Physics
Art	Political Science
Biology	Psychology
Business	Religion
Computer Applications	Real Estate
Community Education	Sociology
Chemistry	Speech
Computer & Information Science	Theatre Arts
Construction Trades	Work Experience/Internship
Communications	Wilderness Education
Counseling	World Languages
Criminal Justice	Admissions and Records
Culinary Arts	Administrative Services
Dance	Child Development Center
Disability Resource Center	Information Technology Services
Early Childhood Education	Fiscal Services
Economics	Human Resources
Education	Instruction Office
English	Institutional Research and Planning
English as a Second Language	Library Services
Ethnic Studies	Maintenance and Operations
Environmental Science	Advancement Office
Fire Science	President's Office
Forestry	Reprographics
Geography	Student Services - Counseling
Geology	Student Services - Financial Aid
Green Sustainable Education	Student Services - TRIO
History	Tutoring and Learning Center
Hotel & Restaurant Management	Workforce Preparation Services
Humanities	
Mathematics	
Music	
Physical Education	

Table 3




Figure 2: Governance process for planning




Figure 3: Annual Planning and Budget Process